Statement

DESV Tariff as on 30-9-1991 *and effective from* 1-10-1993

(Paise per unit)

Category	Rates as on 30-9-1993	Rates effective from 1-10-1993	Percentage increase
DOMESTIC	40 (first 100 units)	60 (first 100 units)	50
	50 (next 100 units)	100 (next 100 units)	100
	150 (next 100 units)	180 (next 100 units)	20
	200 (above 300 units)	240 (above 300 units)	20
DOMESTIC POWER	200	240	20
NON-DOMESTIC			
Low Tension . . .	150	240	60
Mixed load (HT) . .	170	240	41
	plus Rs. 60/- per KVA	plus Rs. 100/- per KVA	67
INDUSTRIAL			
Small Industrial Power (SIP)	125	200 upto 15 KW/20 HP 220 beyond 15 KW/20 HP	60 76
Large Industrial Power (LIP)	200	240	20
	plus Rs. 60/- per KVA	plus Rs. 100/- per KVA	67
Street lighting . . .	137	190	39
	plus Rs. 30/- per month maintenance	plus Rs. 40/- per month maintenance	33
Agriculture . . .	20	50	150

Violation of orders regarding promotion of technical personnel by UPSEB

600. PROF. SAURIN BHATTACHARYA: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the orders of the Central Government to Uttar Pradesh State Electricity Board (UPSEB) have been violated in the matter of promotion of technical personnel to the post of Junior Engineer;

(b) whether the UPSEB had held any selection test in 1977 and 1985 and had brought out selection lists;

(c) whether corrupt practices have delayed the process of promotion resulting in losses to power generation system of UPSEB; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a)

(b) According to UTar Pradesh State Electricity Board, a selection examination was held in the year 1977—79. On the basis of these examinations, 629 operating cadre candidates were selected and promoted to the post of Junior Engineer (Ordinary Grade).

A selection examination was also held at 20 centres all over the State in 1985, which could not be completed because in some centres the examination was bycotted by the candidates as well as, in some court cases interim orders were received not to promote J.E. Thus no select list could be declared in respect of this examination.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

Foreign Investments in Power Projects

601. SHBI GHUFRAN AZAM: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state;

(a) whether the details of foreign investments of different power projects in the country have been worked out;

(b) the details of foreign countries which have offered investments or have concluded agreements alongwith the extent of investment to be made by them;

(c) whether some of the foreign countries are trying to take over the properties of various State Power sector with minimum valuation; and

(d) if so, the details thereof and the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): fa) Yes, Sir.

(b) Foreign Private Companies have shown interest for setting up of 33 power projects amounting to 21289 MW costing Rs. 69471.00 crores. The country wise break-up is given be

Sl. No.	Name of the Country	Amount of investment (Rs. in crs.)
1	USA	45971.00
2	UK	3900.00
3	Germany	6889.00
4	Australia	2554.00
5	Japan	3000.00
6	Malta	1632.00
7	Israel	5525.00

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

Hike in Power Tariff Structure by DESU

602. SHRI MOHINDER SINGH LATHER; Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) what is the rationale in hiking the power tariff in Delhi at a time when the power supply by DESU has fast deteriorated;

(b) what is the estimated revenue likely to be earned by DESU as a result of the new tariff; and

(c) what precise measures have been taken by DESU to minimise losses due to power thefts and to provide uninterrupted power supply both for Commercial and Domestic purposes?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) The power supply in Delhi has been, by and large satisfactory. During the period April—October 1993 against an energy requirement of 6685 MU the actual availability was 6571 MU representing a marginal shortfall of 1.7 per cent. DESU has revised its